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BACKGROUND

Surat Basin Coal Seam Gas (CSG) is maturing from exploration to production to meet the targets for the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) projects. This requires effective and predictable reservoir performance that is directly controlled by permeability, gas saturation and matching the well completion technique to the ground conditions. Permeability is a function of stress and fracture, and these will vary at the field scale with the development of larger regional scale faults and folds, and localised “keystone” features.

AIMS OF THE STUDY

The major aims of this study are

\begin{itemize}
  \item To understand controls on the spatial and stratigraphic variability of stress and fracture orientation relative to permeability and their role in known “sweet” and “sour” production spots.
\end{itemize}

CONCEPTUAL MODEL
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PRELIMINARY RESULTS
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![Well section showing present day in-situ stress, fracture orientations, fracture density (PS2) and their influence in the permeability test intervals](image6)
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OBSERVATIONS

\begin{itemize}
  \item Fracture density and orientation along with stress orientation significantly influence coal permeability within Walloon Sub-group. Most of the cases, coal fractures oriented parallel or sub-parallel indicate good permeability zone with some exceptions e.g. Hopeland 2 well (Figure 6).
  \item Hopeland 2, Hopeland 3A located near anticline within Surat Succession. Hopeland 2 well located in a structurally complex area with folded and faulted strata. Here structures within Surat succession plays greater role for higher permeability even though in-situ stress and coal fractures relationship not favourable (Figure 6).
  \item Local stress perturbations due to faults, lithological variation etc. causing SHmax rotation from the regional orientation and significantly influence Walloon coal permeability in the Eastern Surat (Figure 7).
\end{itemize}